SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE NO. 17-051

RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, ADOPTING FUTURE LAND USE MAP AMENDMENTS TO THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND AMENDING THE ZONING MAP TO IMPLEMENT CHANGES TO THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP (SW1 – KEVIN VAN HOLLEBEKE)

WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130 and .470 direct counties planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA) to adopt procedures for interested persons to propose amendments and revisions to the Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) or development regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council (county council) adopted chapter 30.74 of the Snohomish County Code (SCC), "Growth Management Act Public Participation Program Docketing," to comply with the requirements of RCW 36.70A.130 and .470; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) processed one citizen initiated docket proposal received by the October 30, 2015, deadline for Docket XVIII applications and evaluated the proposed amendments, identified as SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke, for consistency with the initial docket review criteria in SCC 30.74.030(1) and 30.74.040; and

WHEREAS, on June 1, 2016, the county council held a public hearing to receive public testimony regarding whether to place the SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke proposal to amend the GMACP and implementing zoning on Final Docket XVIII; and

WHEREAS, on June 1, 2016, the county council approved, by Motion No. 16-171, the SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke proposal for further consideration and final action on Final Docket XVII and authorized the county executive, through PDS, to process Final Docket XVII consistent with chapters 30.73 and 30.74 SCC; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Planning Commission ("planning commission") was briefed on the SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke Final Docket XVIII proposal on April 25, 2017; and

ORDINANCE NO. 17-051
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, ADOPTING
FUTURE LAND USE MAP AMENDMENTS TO THE SNOHOMISH
COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN
AND AMENDING THE ZONING MAP TO IMPLEMENT CHANGES TO
THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP (SW1 – KEVIN VAN HOLLEBEKE) - 1

 WHEREAS, pursuant to chapter 30.74 SCC, PDS completed final review and evaluation of the SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke Final Docket XVIII proposal, and forwarded a recommendation that the proposal be approved to the planning commission; and

WHEREAS, the planning commission held a public hearing and received public testimony on the SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke proposal on May 23, 2017, and recommended adoption of the amendments contained in this ordinance, as shown in its recommendation letter of June 9, 2017; and

WHEREAS, on September 27, 2017, the Snohomish County Council held a public hearing, after proper notice, to hear public testimony on this ordinance and consider the entire record, including the planning commission's recommendation, on the SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke Final Docket XVIII proposal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

Section 1. The county council makes the following findings:

A. The county council adopts and incorporates the foregoing recitals as findings as if set forth fully herein.

B. The SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke proposal would redesignate .45 acres of land within the Southwest Urban Growth Area (SWUGA) from Urban Low Density Residential (ULDR) to Urban High Density Residential (UHDR) with a concurrent rezone from R-9,600 to Multiple Residential (MR). The SW1 site is located on Richmond Road, approximately 600 feet west of Filbert Road, within the city of Bothell's Municipal Urban Growth Area (MUGA) and approximately 500 feet north of Bothell's city limits.

C. The SW1 proposal is consistent with the following GMA requirements: 1) RCW 36.70A.130(1)(d), which requires that proposed comprehensive plan amendments be consistent with the GMA; 2) RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a), which requires that proposed comprehensive plan amendments be considered no more frequently than once every year; 3) RCW 36.70A.070, which requires internal consistency within a comprehensive plan; and 4) RCW 36.70A.210, which requires that a comprehensive plan be consistent with the CPPs.

 D. The SW1 proposal is consistent with the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) Multicounty Planning Policies (MPP), including DP-2, by amending the GPP FLU Map and the county zoning map to maximize the development potential of the subject property and encourage a more efficient use of urban land.

 F. The SW1 proposal is consistent with the GPP, including GPP LU Policy 2.A.3, by amending the GPP FLU Map and the county zoning map to provide the opportunity for additional higher density residential development.

G. Procedural requirements.

1. This proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010.

 2. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the Update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this proposal have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on May 10, 2017, of Addendum No. 11 to the FEIS for the 2015 Update to the GMACP.

3. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for distribution to state agencies on April 26, 2017.

4. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance has complied with all applicable requirements of the GMA and the SCC.

 5. The Washington State Attorney General last issued an advisory memorandum, as required by RCW 36.70A.370, in December of 2015 entitled "Advisory Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property" to help local governments avoid the unconstitutional taking of private property. The process outlined in the State Attorney General's 2015 advisory memorandum was used by Snohomish County in objectively evaluating the regulatory changes proposed by this ordinance.

H. The ordinance is consistent with the record as set forth in the PDS staff reports dated April 12, 2017, and May 10, 2017. In its staff report dated May 10, 2017, PDS concluded the proposal met the criteria set forth in SCC 30.74.060 and, therefore, recommended the proposal be approved.

 1 Section 2. The county council makes the following conclusions:

2 3

A. The proposal complies with all requirements of Washington State law and county code.

B. The proposal is consistent with the MPP.

C. The proposal is consistent with the CPP.

D. The proposal is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the GPP.

E. All SEPA requirements with respect to this non-project action have been satisfied.

F. This proposal does not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property for a public purpose and does not violate substantive due process guarantees.

Section 3. The county council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the planning commission and the county council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.

Section 4. The FLU Map of the GPP, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 17-050 on September 27, 2017, is amended as indicated in Exhibit A to this ordinance, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference into this ordinance.

Section 5. The area-wide zoning map, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 17-050 on September 27, 2017, is amended as indicated in Exhibit B to this ordinance, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference into this ordinance.

Section 6. The county council directs the code reviser to update SCC 30.10.060 pursuant to SCC 1.02.020(3).

Section 7. Severability and Savings. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid by the Growth Management Hearings Board ("Board"), or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.

THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP (SW1 - KEVIN VAN HOLLEBEKE) - 4

1			
2	PASSED this 27th day of September,	2017.	
3			
4		SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL	
5		Snohomish County, Washington	
6		$(\lambda_1)_{\alpha} = 0$	
7 8		Council Vice Chair	
9	ATTEST:	Council wice Chairs	
10	ALIEOV		
11			
12	Clerk of the Council		
13			
14	(Y) APPROVED		
15	() EMERGENCY		
16	() VETOED	DATE 0/1/15 0017	
17		DATE: <u>/9///2</u> , 2017	
18 19			
20			
21		County Executive	
22	ATTEST:		
23	(P		
24	Ora E. Salmer		
25			
26	Approved as to form only:		
27			
28 29	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney		
30	Deputy 1 rosecuting Attorney		
50			
31			
22			
32			
33			
2.4			D 40
34			D-16

35

Exhibit A Ordinance No. 17-051 Final Docket XVIII SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke Amendments to Map 1 of the GPP

Exhibit B Ordinance No. 17-051 Final Docket XVIII SW1 – Kevin Van Hollebeke Amendments to the Area-Wide Zoning Map