1	ADOPTED: 11/14/16					
2	EFFECTIVE: 12/08/16					
3	SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL					
4	SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON					
5						
6	AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 16-094					
7						
8	RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, ADOPTING THE 2017-2022					
9	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AS PART OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY					
10	CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN					
11						
12	WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act (GMA), chapter 36.70A RCW, requires counties					
13	to adopt, as part of a GMA comprehensive plan ("GMACP"), a capital facilities element that					
14	includes a six-year plan providing for the financing of capital facilities within projected funding					
15	capacities and clearly identifying sources of public money for such purposes; and					
16	capacities and clearly identifying sources of public money for such purposes, and					
17	WHEREAS, Snohomish County (the "County") addresses this requirement by annually					
18	adopting a capital improvement program as an adjunct to its annual budget; and					
19	adopting a capital improvement program as an adjunct to its annual budget, and					
20	WHEREAS, GMA Goal 12, RCW 36.70A.020(12), regarding public facilities and services,					
20	addresses the need to ensure the adequacy of public facilities and services to serve the					
22	development at the time the development is available for occupancy and without decreasing the					
23	current levels of service below locally established minimum standards; and					
23 24	current levels of service below locally established minimum standards, and					
24 25	WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a)(iv) allows the County to amend the GMACP more					
25 26	frequently than once per year if the amendment is to the capital facilities element and occurs					
	concurrently with the adoption or amendment of the County's budget; and					
27 28	concurrently with the adoption of amendment of the County's budget, and					
20 29	WHEREAS, GMACP - General Policy Plan (GPP) Capital Facilities Objective 1.B and					
	associated policies require the County to develop a six-year financing program for capital					
30	facilities that meets the requirements of the GMA; and					
31	facilities that meets the requirements of the GMA, and					
32	WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council (the "County Council") first adopted a capital					
33						
34	facilities plan as required by the GMA, the 1995-2000 Capital Plan, along with other mandatory					
35	elements of Snohomish County's GMACP, on June 28, 1995; and					
36	WUEDEAS on lung 10, 2015 the County Council adapted the 2015 Comprehensive Plan					
37	WHEREAS, on June 10, 2015, the County Council adopted the 2015 Comprehensive Plan					
38	Update, which included reassessment and updates to the Land Use Element, Transportation					
39	Element, Parks and Recreation Element, Capital Facilities Plan Element, Future Land Use Map,					
40	and regulations and policies; and					
41	MULEREAR the 2015 Constal Equilities Plan Element ("2015 CEP") establishes minimum					
42	WHEREAS, the 2015 Capital Facilities Plan Element ("2015 CFP") establishes minimum					
43	level of service ("LOS") standards for those capital facilities necessary to support development					
44	and provides an inventory of capital facilities and a forecast of future facility needs; and					
45						
46	WHEREAS, the 2015 CFP identifies the following public capital facilities as necessary to					
47	support development: fire protection services, surface transportation, parks and recreation,					
48	surface water management, electric power, schools, public wastewater, and public water					
49	supply; and					
50						
	AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 16-094					

- WHEREAS, Snohomish County Code (SCC) 4.26.024 requires the Snohomish County 1 2 Executive, on an annual basis, to prepare a six-year capital improvement program for the next six fiscal years pursuant to the Snohomish County Charter (the "County Charter") and the GMA; 3 and 4 5 WHEREAS, section 6.50 of the County Charter requires the County Council to adopt a six-6 year capital improvement program as an adjunct to the annual budget, including a balance of 7 proposed expenses and potential revenue sources; and 8 9 10 WHEREAS, the six-year capital improvement program is the document developed by the County to detail the funding sources for County capital projects over the next six years and 11 assess whether funding sources and regulatory mechanisms are sufficient to maintain the 12 minimum LOS for those capital facilities necessary to support development; and 13 14 WHEREAS, pursuant to the County Charter and the SCC, the County Council plans to 15 update its six-year capital improvement program concurrently with the 2017 budget process; 16 and 17 18 19 WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Planning Commission (the "Planning Commission") held a public hearing to consider the County's 2017-2022 Capital Improvement Program ("2017-20 21 2022 CIP") on September 27, 2016; and 22 23 WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the public hearing, the Planning Commission voted to 24 recommend adoption of the 2017-2022 CIP as shown in its recommendation letter dated 25 September 27, 2016; and 26 27 WHEREAS, the County Council held a public hearing on November 14, 2016, to consider the Planning Commission's recommendations as well as public testimony on the 2017-2022 28 29 CIP; and 30 WHEREAS, the County Council considered the 2017-2022 CIP, which is attached as 31
- 32 Exhibit A, concurrently with the 2017 budget; and 33
- WHEREAS, the County Council considered the entire hearing record including the Planning
 Commission's recommendation and written and oral testimony submitted during the public
 hearings;
- 38 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:
- 40 Section 1. The County Council adopts the following findings in support of this ordinance: 41
- 42 A. The foregoing recitals are adopted as findings as if set forth in full herein.
- 44 B. The ordinance adopts the County's 2017-2022 CIP.
 - C. The 2017-2022 CIP was developed for compliance with the following GMA requirements:
- 47 48 49

50

39

43

45 46

1. RCW 36.70A.070(3) "A capital facilities plan element consisting of: (a) An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by public entities, showing the

- locations and capacities of the capital facilities; (b) a forecast of the future needs for such capital facilities; (c) the proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities; (d) at least a six-year plan that will finance such capital facilities within projected funding capacities and clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes; and (e) a requirement to reassess the land use element if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs and to ensure that the land use element, capital facilities plan element, and financing plan within the capital facilities plan element are coordinated and consistent. Park and recreation facilities shall be included in the capital facilities plan element." The 2017-2022 CIP includes a six-year financing plan for all of the County's capital facilities. The 2017-2022 CIP also assesses the adequacy of funding and regulatory mechanisms for those public capital facilities necessary to support development to maintain their respective minimum level of service (LOS).
- 2. GMA planning Goal 12 (RCW 36.70A.020(12)) "Public facilities and services. Ensure that those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards." The 2017-2022 CIP specifies proposed funding sources for the planned capital facilities and contains a "statement of assessment" which addresses the need for a reassessment of land use or other comprehensive plan elements if there is a projected shortfall in revenue (between 2017 and 2022) that causes the LOS for a facility classified as necessary to support development to fall below the minimum level identified in the capital facilities plan. The statement of assessment portion of the 2017-2022 CIP finds that there are no funding shortfalls or regulatory inadequacies that would affect the ability to maintain the minimum LOS for those capital facilities necessary to support development.
- D. The 2017-2022 CIP was developed for consistency with Puget Sound Regional Council Vision 2040 Multicounty Planning Policies (MPP) including: MPP-PS-2 "Time and phase services and facilities to guide growth and development in a manner that supports the regional vision." The County's CFP and the 2017-2022 CIP align with the regional vision to direct growth into urban areas where adequate public infrastructure and services are available or can be provided in an efficient manner by establishing minimum LOS for those public capital facilities necessary to support development and by ensuring that adequate funding and regulatory mechanisms are in place to maintain those minimum LOS.
- E. The 2017-2022 CIP was developed for consistency with Snohomish County Countywide
 Planning Policy (CPP) PS-13 "Jurisdictions should adopt capital facilities plans, and
 coordinate with other service providers, to provide the appropriate level of service to
 support planned growth and development in Urban Growth Areas." The 2017-2022 CIP,
 a component of the County's CFP, is developed through a coordinated and collaborate
 process between the County and non-County service providers of public capital facilities
 such as schools, water and sewer infrastructure and services, and electric power.
- 48 F. The 2017-2022 CIP was developed to comply with and implement the following County 49 directives:
- 50

47

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1.	Section 6.50 of the County Charter " The county council in considering the budget ordinance proposed by the county executive, may delete or add items, may reduce or increase the proposed appropriations and may add provisions restricting the expenditure of certain appropriations, provided that the county council shall adopt a six (6) year capital improvement program as an adjunct to the budget, including a balance of proposed expenses and potential revenue sources." The County's annual capital improvement program, including the 2017-2022 CIP, is considered and adopted as part of the annual budget.
10 11 12 13 14 15	2.	SCC 4.26.024 "The executive shall on an annual basis prepare a capital improvement program for the next six fiscal years pursuant to the county charter and chapter 36.70A RCW." The County's annual capital improvement programs, including the 2017-2022 CIP, are developed for compliance with state and local requirements, and is considered and adopted as part of the annual budget.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	3.	GPP Objective CF 1.B "Develop a six-year financing program for capital facilities that meets the requirements of the GMA, achieves the county's levels-of-service objectives for county roads and is within its financial capabilities to carry out." The 2017-2022 CIP contains: 1) an adequate financing plan for all County capital facilities, including those necessary to support development, 2) the minimum LOS for those capital facilities necessary to support development, including roads and transit, and 3) a statement of assessment that finds adequate funding and regulatory mechanisms in place to maintain the minimum LOS for those capital facilities necessary to support development.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	policie capita Coun 2) an public	017-2022 CIP will comply with and implement the following goals, objectives, and es of the GPP because it is developed in coordination with other providers of public al facilities and it provides: 1) a six-year financing plan for all County and non- ty capital facilities that identifies the funding sources, projects, and schedule, and assessment of the adequacy of funding and regulatory mechanisms for those capital facilities necessary to support development to maintain their established hum LOS:
34 35 36 37	1.	TR Policy 7.A.5 "A locally and regionally coordinated six-year program shall be prepared that finances transportation improvements within projected funding levels and clearly identifies sources of public money."
38 39 40 41 42	2.	PR Policy 3.A.1 "Apply a level-of-service method to: monitor the level-of-service of park facilities necessary to support development; identify priority parks projects that are necessary to support development; and provide a basis for collecting and allocating park impact mitigation fees."
43 44 45 46	3.	Objective CF 6.A "Update the six-year CIP to include a capital program to efficiently provide quality work space for existing and projected future staffing levels through the year 2035."
47 48 49 50	4.	CF Policy 1.B.1 "The county shall prepare and adopt, a six-year capital improvement program (pursuant to County Charter) that identifies projects, outlines a schedule, and designates realistic funding sources for all county capital projects."

4			
1		~	
2		э.	Goal CF 9 "Coordinate with non-county facility providers such as cities and
3			special purpose districts to support the future land use pattern indicated by this
4			plan."
5		0	
6		б.	Objective CF 10.A "Assist school districts in developing capital facilities plans
7			that clearly depict levels of service and how they will serve existing and projected
8			student enrollments."
9		-	
10		1.	Goal CF 11 "Water supply systems shall provide sufficient fire flow, as
11			established by county development regulations, in order to provide protection at
12			a level of service commensurate with the planned intensity of future development
13			adopted in the comprehensive plan."
14		~	
15		8.	Goal UT 2 "Work with provider agencies of Snohomish County to help ensure the
16			availability of a reliable, high quality water supply for all households and
17			businesses within the county in a manner that is consistent with the
18			comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment."
19		_	
20		9.	Goal UT 3 "Work with cities and special districts to produce coordinated
21			wastewater system plans for both incorporated and unincorporated areas within
22			UGAs that are consistent with the land use element and city plans."
23			
24		10	. Goal UT 4 "Assist electric utility providers in fulfilling their public service
25			obligations through planning for adequate system capacity to accommodate
26			forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan
26 27			
26 27 28			forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment."
26 27 28 29	H. Pr	oced	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan
26 27 28 29 30	H. Pr		forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment."
26 27 28 29 30 31	H. Pr		forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment."
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	H. Pr	1.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	H. Pr	1. 2.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	H. Pr	1. 2.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	H. Pr	1. 2.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	H. Pr	1. 2.	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	H. Pr	 1. 2. 3. 	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for distribution to state agencies on August 30, 2016.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	H. Pr	 1. 2. 3. 	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for distribution to state agencies on August 30, 2016. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance has
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	H. Pr	 1. 2. 3. 	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for distribution to state agencies on August 30, 2016.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	H. Pr	 1. 2. 3. 4. 	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for distribution to state agencies on August 30, 2016. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance has complied with all applicable requirements of the GMA and the SCC.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	H. Pr	 1. 2. 3. 	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for distribution to state agencies on August 30, 2016. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance has complied with all applicable requirements of the GMA and the SCC.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	H. Pr	 1. 2. 3. 4. 	forecasted growth in a manner that is consistent with the comprehensive plan and protection of the natural environment." dural requirements. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. The environmental impacts of this proposal are within the range of impacts analyzed by the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and final environmental impact statement (FEIS) during the update to the GMACP in 2015. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts from this ordinance have been identified. Therefore, State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this non-project action have been met through issuance on September 1, 2016, of Addendum No. 9 to the FEIS for the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for distribution to state agencies on August 30, 2016. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance has complied with all applicable requirements of the GMA and the SCC.

1 2 3 4 5 6		Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property" to help local governments avoid the unconstitutional taking of private property. The process outlined in the State Attorney General's 2015 advisory memorandum was used by Snohomish County in objectively evaluating the regulatory changes proposed by this ordinance.					
7 8	١.	This ordinance is consistent with the record as set forth in PDS staff reports relating to this proposal dated August 9, 2016, and September 13, 2016.					
9 10	Sectio	n 2. The County Council makes the following conclusions:					
11 12 13 14	A.	The 2017-2022 CIP is consistent with and complies with the procedural and substantive requirements of the GMA.					
14 15 16	В.	The 2017-2022 CIP is consistent with and implements the MPPs, CPPs, and GPP.					
17	C.	All SEPA requirements with respect to this non-project action have been satisfied.					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	D.	This proposal does not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property for a public purpose and does not violate substantive due process guarantees.					
	Section 3. The County Council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the Planning Commission and the County Council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.						
26 27 28 29 30	Section 4. The 2017-2022 CIP, attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference to this ordinance, is hereby adopted as the six-year capital improvement program required by the GMA, County Charter, MPPs, CPPs, SCC, and GPP based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions.						
31 32 33 34 35 36	Section 5. The 2017-2022 CIP adopted by this ordinance supersedes all other County capital improvement programs. The 2017-2022 CIP shall control in the event of any inconsistency between the 2017-2022 CIP and any other capital improvement program adopted by the County.						
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Section 6. Severability and Savings. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid by the Growth Management Hearings Board, or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.						

PASSED this 14th day of November, 2016. SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL Snohomish County, Washington Chairperson ATTEST: Clerk of the Council DATE: <u>1/28</u>, 2016 **APPROVED** (1)VETOED) (EMERGENCY) (**Snohomish County Executive** ATTEST: Ralmer Approved as to form only: **Deputy Prosecuting Attorney** AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 16-094 RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, ADOPTING THE 2017-2022 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT

PROGRAM AS PART OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN - 7

D-23