

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 09-061

RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING APPENDIX B OF THE COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES FOR SNOHOMISH COUNTY TO REFLECT A RURAL POPULATION GROWTH TARGET REDUCTION

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act (GMA) at RCW 36.70A.110(2) requires that Snohomish County and its cities use the growth management population projection made for the county by the State Office of Financial Management (OFM) as the basis for urban growth area (UGA) determination; and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policy (CPP) UG-2(a) requires that the cities and the county engage in the cooperative planning process of Snohomish County Tomorrow (SCT) in order to establish a subcounty allocation of projected 20-year growth to cities, unincorporated UGAs, and the rural area for coordination of growth management plans; and

WHEREAS, CPP UG-2 requires that the results of this collaborative growth allocation effort be contained in Appendix B of the Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs); and

WHEREAS, Appendix B of the CPPs was last updated on December 20, 2006, by the Snohomish County Council to incorporate the results of the SCT target reconciliation process called for in CPP UG-2(b); and

WHEREAS, Appendix B of the CPPs currently shows the reconciled population growth targets to the year 2025 which totals 938,434 countywide, of which the total rural (non-urban) population is 158,615, up from the year 2002 rural population estimate of 113,320, and representing 14.6 percent of the projected countywide population increase for the period 2002 – 2025; and

WHEREAS, the population growth targets currently shown in Appendix B of the CPPs are based on the OFM population projections released in January 2002 that included a range for potential 2025 Snohomish County total population from a low of 795,725 to a high of 1,062,903, with a medium ("most likely") projection of 929,314; and

WHEREAS, in 2007, OFM released updated projections for Snohomish County's 2025 total population that range from a low of 769,525 to a high of 1,027,905, and lowered its medium ("most likely") 2025 population projection for the county from 929,314 to 898,715; and

WHEREAS, in 2008, the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) adopted an updated regional growth strategy in Vision 2040, which includes an updated population growth allocation for Snohomish County that is consistent with the lower 2007 OFM medium projection, and which provides for a rural share of Snohomish County population growth of 10 percent; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council proposes amendments to the Snohomish County GMA Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) General Policy Plan (GPP) to reduce the future share of Snohomish County rural population growth after 2008 to no more than 10 percent; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) completed the initial review and evaluation of these GMACP amendments as docket proposal GPP 17

2 3

pursuant to SCC 30.74.030 and transmitted those initial evaluations to the county council for its consideration in setting Final Docket XIII; and

WHEREAS, on June 9, 2008, and June 16, 2008, the Snohomish County Council held public hearings to receive public testimony on proposed county and non-county initiated amendments to the GMACP for consideration on Final Docket XIII including proposal GPP 17; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council, on June 16, 2008, approved, by Motion No. 08-238, a list of proposed GMACP amendments for inclusion on Final Docket XIII and authorized the Snohomish County Executive, through PDS, to process Final Docket XIII, including proposal GPP 17, consistent with chapters 30.73 and 30.74 SCC; and

WHEREAS, approval of proposal GPP 17 requires corresponding amendments to the county population growth targets in Appendix B of the CPPs through an established process of consultation with SCT; and

WHEREAS, on August 20, 2008, the county council directed council staff to consult with SCT on whether the proposed reduction in the rural population growth allocation should be reallocated to existing UGAs as part of proposal GPP 17; and

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2008, the SCT Planning Advisory Committee considered proposal GPP 17 and recommended that if proposal GPP 17 is adopted by the county council, no reallocation of population to urban areas should occur and the overall county growth target should be reduced; and

WHEREAS, on October 14, 2008, the SCT Executive Committee considered proposal GPP 17 and recommended that if proposal GPP 17 is adopted by the county council, no reallocation of population to urban areas should occur and the overall county growth target should be reduced; and

WHEREAS, on October 22, 2008, the SCT Steering Committee considered proposal GPP 17 and recommended that if proposal GPP 17 is adopted by the county council, no reallocation of population to urban areas should occur and the overall county growth target should be reduced; and

WHEREAS, by Motion No. 08-682, the Snohomish County Council accepted the recommendation of SCT that proposal GPP 17 should not include reallocation of population to urban areas, and instead should include a reduction in the overall county population growth target as needed to ensure consistency with the GMACP, the CPPs, and the GMA; and

WHEREAS, the SCT Planning Advisory Committee reviewed proposal GPP 17 on February 12, 2009, and recommended approval on March 12, 2009, of the amendments proposed in this ordinance to Appendix B of the CPPs that are necessary for the adoption of proposal GPP 17 and policy amendments to CPP UG-3 to establish a maximum 10 percent rural share for future growth allocation processes; and

WHEREAS, the SCT Steering Committee reviewed proposal GPP 17 on March 25, 2009, and recommended approval on April 22, 2009, of the amendments proposed in this ordinance to Appendix B of the CPPs that are necessary for the adoption of proposal GPP 17 and policy amendments to CPP UG-3 to establish a maximum 10 percent rural share for future growth allocation processes; and

WHEREAS, Snohomish County adopted CPPs in Ordinance No. 93-004 on February 4, 1993, and later amended those policies in Ordinance No. 94-002 on February 2, 1994; Amended Ordinance No. 95-005 on February 15, 1995; Ordinance No. 95-110 on December 20, 1995; Ordinance No. 98-054 on July 15, 1998; Amended Ordinance No. 99-120 on January 19, 2000; Amended Ordinance No. 99-121 on February 16, 2000; Amended Ordinance Nos. 03-071, 03-072 and 03-073 on July 9, 2003; Amended Ordinance No. 03-070 on December 10, 2003; Amended Ordinance No. 04-006 on February 11, 2004; Amended Ordinance No. 04-007 on March 31, 2004; Amended Ordinance Nos. 06-098 and 06-116 on December 20, 2006; and Amended Ordinance No. 08-054 on June 3, 2008; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council held a public hearing on July 8, 2009 continued to August 12, 2009 to consider the recommendation of the SCT Steering Committee to reduce the rural population growth allocation shown in Appendix B of the CPPs and policy amendments to CPP UG-3.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

Section 1. The county council makes the following findings:

- A. The county council adopts and incorporates the foregoing recitals as findings as if set forth fully herein.
 - B. The proposed amendments to the CPPs would reduce the rural share of the county's future population growth after 2008 from 15 percent to 10 percent. This would result in a 13,981 reduction to the 2025 rural population target. Under the proposed amendments, the share of county population growth in rural areas would be limited to no more than 10 percent after 2008 the proposed amendments do not intend to compensate for growth that has already occurred during the years 2002 through 2008. Consequently, the revised rural 2002-2025 population growth target of 31,314 is based on estimated rural population growth between 2002 and 2008 of 10,029 (the difference between the 2002 total rural population estimate of 113,320 and the final 2008 rural population estimate of 123,349), plus 10 percent of countywide population growth between 2008 (696,600) and 2025 (909,453), or 21,825. As a result, the amended rural share of countywide population growth from 2002 to 2025 shown in Exhibit 1 does not match precisely the proposed 10 percent limit since it includes rural population growth that exceeded 10 percent during the period between 2002 and 2008.
- 35 C. The proposed amendments provide an increased share of county growth going into urban areas where adequate public facilities and infrastructure are in place to accommodate growth.
- D. The proposed amendments require corresponding amendments to the GPP Appendix D population growth targets, as proposed in GPP 17.
- E. The proposed amendments would limit future rural growth to no more than 10 percent, not just change the current 2025 growth targets. Therefore, future planning cycles must accommodate at least 90 percent of the growth in urban areas. Accordingly, the proposed amendments would amend CPP UG-3 to establish the minimum 90 percent and maximum 10 percent growth shares for urban versus rural areas that will guide future growth allocation processes.
- F. Reduction of the countywide population target for Snohomish County for the year 2025 by an amount commensurate with the 2025 rural population allocation reduction of 13,981,

- combined with the elimination of the 15,000 FCC population reserve, brings the county's 1 2025 total population target down from 938,434 to 909,453, which is closer to the 2007 OFM 2 medium ("most likely") population projection for Snohomish County of 898,715 for the year 3 4 2025.
- 5 G. The proposal is consistent with OFM's most recent population projection for Snohomish County which indicated a 2025 population range from a low of 769,525 to a high of 6 1,027,905. 7
- 8 H. Reduction of the countywide population target for Snohomish County for the year 2025 by an amount commensurate with the 2025 rural population allocation reduction of 13,981, 9 combined with the elimination of the 15,000 FCC population reserve, brings the county's 10 2025 total population target down from 938,434 to 909,453, which is consistent with the 11 12 PSRC's Vision 2040 population allocation released in 2008 to Snohomish County.
- The proposal is consistent with the PSRC's Vision 2040 rural population growth share for 13 14 Snohomish County of 10 percent, although the County is not at this time required to adopt 15 new population growth targets.
- J. The county council considered the recommendation of the SCT Steering Committee to 16 reduce the rural share of the county's future population growth after 2008 to 10 percent in 17 18 Appendix B of the CPPs.
- K. The revisions to Appendix B, Table 1, of the CPPs, shown in Exhibit 1 of this ordinance, 19 20 accomplish the reduction in the rural share of the county's future population growth after 2008 to 10 percent as recommended by the SCT Steering Committee. 21
- 22 L. Amendments to the population growth targets to reduce the rural share of the county's future population growth after 2008 to 10 percent were analyzed in Addendum No. 13 to the Final Environment Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update, 24 25 released on February 9, 2009. The information in this Addendum adds to the analysis of previously identified significant impacts of the alternatives in the county's GMA 26 Comprehensive Plan 10-Year Update EIS dated May 5, 2004 (Draft EIS), and December 13, 27 2005 (Final EIS), but does not substantially change the analysis. The county has already 28 29 considered in these EIS documents the impacts of the GPP 17 docket proposal described in 30 Addendum No. 13, such impacts being identical to those for these proposed CPP amendments. No new impacts beyond those identified in the original EIS are expected to occur due to the proposal. 32

Section 2. The county council makes the following conclusions:

23

31

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

- A. The proposed amendments would reduce the rural share of the county's future population growth after 2008 from 15 percent to 10 percent. This would result in a 13,981 reduction to the 2025 rural population target. The proposed amendments provide an increased share of county growth going into urban areas where adequate public facilities and infrastructure are in place to accommodate growth.
- 41 B. The proposed amendments would increase consistency between the CPPs and the PSRC's 42 Vision 2040 Regional Growth Strategy.

- 1 C. The proposed amendments are consistent with the projected population growth for the succeeding 20-year period, consistent with the forecasts promulgated by the OFM, as required by RCW 36.70A.110(2).
- D. SEPA requirements with respect to this non-project action have been satisfied through the publication of an addendum to the Ten-Year Update.
- 6 E. The amendments satisfy the procedural and substantive requirements of and are consistent with the GMA.
- 8 F. The proposal has been broadly disseminated and opportunities have been provided for written comments and public hearing after effective notice.

- Section 3. The county council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the county council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.
 - Section 4. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, Section 1 of Ordinance 93-004, adopted February 4, 1993, and last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 08-054 on June 3, 2008, is amended by amending Table 1 of Appendix B, which is contained in the document entitled "Countywide Planning Policies for Snohomish County," dated February 4, 1993, as depicted in Exhibit 1 to this ordinance attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as if set forth in full.
 - Section 5. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, Section 1 of Ordinance 93-004, adopted February 4, 1993, and last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 08-054 on June 3, 2008, is amended by amending Countywide Planning Policy UG-3, which is contained in the document entitled "Countywide Planning Policies for Snohomish County," dated February 4, 1993, as follows:
 - UG-3 Ensure the final population allocation for UGAs reverses the pre-GMA trend of an increasing share of the county's new population locating in rural areas, by assigning at least ninety percent of the county's future population growth after 2008 to urban areas.
 - Section 6. The county council directs the code reviser to update SCC 30.10.050 pursuant to the authority in SCC 1.02.020(3) upon adoption of this ordinance.
 - Section 7. Severability and savings. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid by the Growth Management Hearings Board, or invalid or unconstitutional a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and

1 2	effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.					
3						
4						
5	PASSED this 12 th day of August, 2009.					
6						
7	SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL					
8						
9						
10	- Mulvy					
11	Chairperson I					
12						
13	ATTEST:					
14	Destar VI Kon A.					
15 16	Clerk of the Council, A35t.					
17	Clerk of the Council, 17051.					
18	(APPROVED					
19	() EMERGENCY					
20	() VETOED					
21	DATE: (mg. 3), 2009					
22						
23						
24	(An CHER)					
25	Le County Executive					
26						
27	ATTEST:					
28	AARON REARDON					
29	County Executive					
30	A					
31 32	Approved as to form only:					
32 33						
34	Denuty Prosecuting Attorney					

Exhibit 1 to Amended Ordinance No. 09-061 Countywide Planning Policies - Appendix B, Table 1 Amendments

APPENDIX B, Table 1 - ((Reconciled)) 2025 Population Growth Targets for Cities, UGAs and the Rural/Resource Area Recommended by the SCT Planning Advisory Committee (((April-13, 2006))) and SCT Steering Committee (((May-24, 2006), as Modified)), and Adopted by the Snohomish County Council ((on December 20, 2006)).

	2002	Reconciled	2002 - 2025 Population Growth		
Area	Estimated Population	2025 Population Targets	Amount	Pet of Total County Growth	
Non-S.W. County UGA	134,101	220,794	92,693	((20.0%))	32.
Adjusten UGA	13,920	27,000	13,080	((4 .2%))	4.0
Artington City	13,280	18,150	4,870	((1.6%))	1.
Unincorporated	640	8,950	8,210	((2.6%))	2.
0	1,488	2,125			0.
Darrington UGA Darrington Town	1,335	2,125 1,910	657 575		ŏ
Unincorporated	133	215	62		Ö.
·	· ·				
Gold Bar UGA	2,817	3,500	683	440 4000	0
Gold Bar City Unincorporated	2,055 762	2,497 1,003	442 241	((0.1%))	<u>0</u> 0
Ounicorborated	102	1,003	241		٠
Granite Falls UGA	2,909	6,970	4,061	((4.3%))	1
Granite Falls City	2,760	4,770	2,010	((0. 6%))	0
Unincorporated	149	2,200	2,051		0
Index UGA (incorporated)	180	190	30		0
Lake Stevens UGA	26,828	46,125	19,297	((6 .2%))	6
Lake Stevens City	6,640	8,360	1,720		Ö
Unincorporated	20,188	37,765	17,577	((5.7%))	9
Maitby UGA (unincorporated)	NA	NA	NA		
Marysville UGA	50,828	79.800	28.972	((0.3%))	40
Marysville City	27,580	78,800 .36,737	28,972 9,157	((2.0%))	10 3
Unincorporated	23,248	43,063	19,815	((6.4%))	Ž
	4				
Monroe UGA	16,240	26,590	10,350	((3.3%))	3
Monroe City Unincorporated	14,670 1,570	20,540 6.050	5,870 4,480	((1.0%)) ((1.4%))	<u>2.</u> 1.
OttateOthorasea	1,570	0,050	*,+00	((T (T /2))	1.
Snohomish UGA	10,194	14,535	4,341	((1,4%))	1
Snohomish City	8,575	9,981	1,406	* ((0.00)	0
Unincorporated	1,619	4,554	2,935	((0.0%))	1
Stanwood UGA	4,479	8,840	4,361	((1,4%))	1
Stanwood City	4,085	5,650	1,565	((0.5%))	Ò
Unincorporated	394	3,190	2,796	((0.9%))	1
Sultan UGA	4,258	11,119	6,861	((2.2%))	2
Sultan City	3.910	8,190	4,280	((1.4%))	ī
Unincorporated	348	2,929	2,581	((0.8%))	Q
3.W. County UGA	380,579	533,125	152,548	((49.1%))	54
Incorporated S.W.	242,490	303,227	60,737	((10.6%))	21
Bothell City (part)	14,490	22,000	7,510	((2.4%))	2
Brier City	6,445	7,790	1,345	((0,4%))	Q
Edmonds City	39,460	44,680	5,420	((1.7%))	1
Everett City	96,070	123,060	26,990 9.792	((8.7%)) ((3.2%))	9
Lynnwood City Mill Creek City	33,990 12,055	43,782 16,089	9,792 4,034	((3.2%)) ((1.3%))	<u>3</u> 1
Mili Creek City Milaka Tarrace City	20,470	22,456	1,986	((0. 6%))	4
Mukikeo City	18,520	22,000	3,480	((1.1%))	1
Woodway Town	990	1,170	180	"	ō
Unincorporated S.W.	138,089	229,898	91,809	((29.6%))	32
GA Total	514,680	759,919	245,239	((79.0%))	87
City Total	327,540	420,202	92,662	((29.8 %))	32
Unincorporated UGA Total	187,140	339,717	152,577	((4 9.1%))	54
CG Population Reserve *	NA.	15,000	15,000	((4.8%))	5.
DR Population Reserve	NA NA	4,900	4,900	((1.6%))	1.
Potential UGA total	514,680	764,819	250,139	((85.4%))	88
ion-UGA Total *	113,320	((468,816)) 144,634	((45,295)) <u>31,314</u>	((14.8%))	11.
Rural Unincorporated)	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	