



CO00016504

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117

RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT  
AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH  
MANAGEMENT ACT  
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL POLICY PLAN

WHEREAS, the GMA requires that, based upon the population projection made for the county by the Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM), the UGAs in the county “shall include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth that is projected to occur in the county . . . for the succeeding twenty-year period, . . .” (RCW 36.70A.110(2)); and

WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.110(2) requires that Snohomish County and its cities use the growth management population projection made for the county by the OFM as the basis for urban growth area determination; and

WHEREAS, OFM issued growth management population projections for Snohomish County in January 2002 that included a range for potential 2025 total county population that varied from a low of 795,725 to a high of 1,062,903; and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policy UG-2 requires that the cities and the county engage in the cooperative planning process of Snohomish County Tomorrow (SCT) in order to establish a subcounty allocation of projected growth for coordination of growth management plans; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) of SCT conducted a technical review of the preliminary 2025 growth target preferences for cities, unincorporated urban growth areas, unincorporated municipal urban growth areas, and the rural/resource area of Snohomish County and recommended by consensus an initial population and employment growth target allocation for the year 2025 targets to update Appendix B of the Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs); and

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2004, the Snohomish County Council amended Appendix B of the CPPs through Amended Ordinance No. 04-006 to include the 2025 initial growth targets as recommended by the SCT Steering Committee; and

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
POLICY PLAN

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council amended the comprehensive plan through the 10-Year Update to the GMA Comprehensive Plan process (Amended Ordinance 05-069) on December 21, 2005; and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policy (CPP) UG-2b identifies a process involving Snohomish County Tomorrow (SCT) to reconcile differences between county and city adopted growth targets following local 10-year plan updates; and

WHEREAS, With the adoption by the County Council of an updated GMA comprehensive plan on December 21, 2005, work on reconciliation commenced at the January 12, 2006, Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting with a discussion of the growth target differences observed in adopted city and county comprehensive plans.

WHEREAS, The reconciled 2025 targets were reviewed and recommended by the PAC at its April 13, 2006, meeting. The SCT Steering Committee, on May 24, 2006, recommended to the PAC and to the county council, reconciled targets for amendments to the CPPs; and

WHEREAS, The Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan General Policy Plan must be consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies pursuant to RCW 36.70A.210; and

WHEREAS, on September 26, 2006, the planning commission held a public hearing to receive public testimony on the proposed amendments to Appendix D of the comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, on October 24, 2006, the planning commission deliberated on the proposed amendments and voted to recommend adoption of the proposed amendments to Appendix D of the comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, the county council held a public hearing on December 11, 2006, to consider the entire record, including the planning commission's recommendations on the amendments to Appendix D of the comprehensive plan, and to hear public testimony on this Ordinance No. 06-117.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
POLICY PLAN

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

**Section 1.** The county council makes the following findings:

- A. The county council adopts and incorporates the foregoing recitals as findings as if set forth fully herein.
- B. The county council adopts the following additional findings of fact related to amendments to the growth target tables in Appendix D of the General Policy Plan (GPP):
  - 1. The County has met and exceeded the state and local requirements for public participation and interjurisdictional coordination.
- C. The amendments to Appendix D are necessary to complete because of the following additional considerations:

The County's GMA comprehensive plan update is based upon the latest 20-year population projections provided by the State Office of Financial Management (OFM) and the sub-county allocation of projected population and employment growth to the year 2025 among cities, UGAs, and the rural/resource area.

- 1. Countywide Planning Policy (CPP) UG-2b calls for a process involving Snohomish County Tomorrow (SCT) to reconcile any differences between county and city adopted growth targets following local 10-year plan updates.
- 2. With the adoption by the county council of the 10 year Update of the GMA comprehensive plan on December 21, 2005, work on reconciliation commenced at the January 12, 2006, SCT Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting with a discussion of the growth target differences observed in adopted city and county comprehensive plans.
- 3. For cities with growth target discrepancies, follow-up city and county staff discussions took place. The results of those discussions are depicted in the reconciled 2025 population and employment growth targets tables.
- 4. These reconciled 2025 targets were reviewed and recommended by the PAC at its April 13, 2006, meeting. The SCT Steering Committee, on May 24, 2006, recommended to the PAC and to the county council, reconciled targets for amendments to the CPPs.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
POLICY PLAN

- D. The pending outcome of the three UGA remand cities (Granite Falls, Gold Bar and Sultan) will require an amendment to Appendix B of the Countywide Planning Policies. Any amendment to Appendix B of the Countywide Planning Policies constitutes a changed condition that will necessitate an amendment to Appendix D of the Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan.
- E. The population growth target for the Southwest UGA and the countywide total population growth target each are increased by 582 consistent with Ordinance No. 06-053, which modified the Southwest UGA after the initial recommendations on growth target reconciliation were completed by staff and approved by the Snohomish County Tomorrow Planning Advisory Committee. The modification of the Southwest UGA was adopted as a result of settlement of litigation over the county's 2005 comprehensive plan amendments as part of the Ten Year Update required by RCW 36.70A.130(3), and the change in the population growth target is consistent with the methodology used for the 2005 comprehensive plan amendments.
- F. The proposal to amend Appendix D, 2025 Population Targets of the GMA Comprehensive Plan fulfills the criteria pursuant to SCC 30.74.060 and is consistent with the Growth Management Act, County Planning Policies and the GMA Comprehensive Plan.
- G. The proposed amendments provide consistency and implement the GMA Comprehensive Plan, including:
  - GOAL PE 1            Establish a subcounty allocation of projected growth to the year 2025 that is consistent with the goals of the Growth Management Act and the countywide planning policies.
  - Objective PE 2.B    Maintain and support a long-term target monitoring process through Snohomish County Tomorrow to review annually and, if necessary, adjust the population and employment targets subsequent to target reconciliation.
- H. The county performed the following environmental review of the amendments to Appendix D:
  - 1. Alternatives for the remand cities (Granite Falls, Sultan and Gold Bar) were considered in Addendum No. 3 to the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update EIS, issued on September 8, 2006, and in the draft supplemental environmental impact statement (DSEIS) to the EIS for the 2005 Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update, issued September 8, 2006.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
 RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
 SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
 POLICY PLAN

2. Amendments to the growth targets for the cities of Arlington, Lynnwood Marysville, and Snohomish were analyzed in Addendum No. 5 to the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update EIS, issued on December 4, 2006. This addendum adds information and analysis of previously identified significant impacts and alternatives to the EIS issued for the county's GMACP 10-Year Update. The information in this addendum expands on previously identified alternatives, but does not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts and alternatives analyzed in the EIS. No additional significant impacts beyond those identified in the original EIS are expected to occur.
3. The remaining amendments to Appendix D were within the range of alternatives evaluated in the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) issued on May 5, 2004, and the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) issued on December 13, 2005, for the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update.
  - I. The amendments to the GMACP Appendix D, satisfy procedural and substantive requirements of and are consistent with the GMA.
  - J. The amendments maintain the GMACP's consistency with the multi-county policies adopted by the Puget Sound Regional Council and with the County's CPPs.

**Section 2.** The county council makes the following conclusions regarding the amendments adopted by this ordinance:

- A. The amendments maintain the GMACP's consistency with the multi-county policies adopted by the Puget Sound Regional Council and with the CPPs for Snohomish County, particularly Appendix B to the CPPs.
- B. The amendments are consistent with the projected growth for the succeeding 20-year period, as required by RCW 36.70A.110(2).
- C. The amendments retain the overall direction and growth management strategy of the GMACP adopted in 2005 (10-Year Update).
- D. The proposed Appendix D amendments are consistent with the following final review and evaluation criteria of SCC 30.74:
  1. The proposed amendments maintain consistency with other elements of the GMACP.
  2. All applicable elements of the GMACP support the proposed amendments.
  3. The proposed amendments meet the goals, objectives, and policies of the GMACP as discussed in the specific findings.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
 RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
 SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
 POLICY PLAN

4. The proposed amendment complies with the GMA.
- E. The amendment to Appendix D is necessary to complete because of the following additional considerations:
    1. The County's GMA comprehensive plan update is based upon the latest 20-year population projections provided by the State Office of Financial Management (OFM) and the sub-county allocation of projected population and employment growth to the year 2025 among cities, UGAs, and the rural/resource area.
  - F. The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this proposed action have been satisfied by the following documents:
    1. The draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) issued on May 5, 2004, and the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) issued on December 13, 2005, for the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update; and
    2. Addendum No. 3 to the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update EIS, issued on September 8, 2006; and
    3. The draft supplemental environmental impact statement (DSEIS) to the EIS for the 2005 Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update, issued September 8, 2006; and
  - G. Addendum No. 5 to the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update EIS, issued on December 4, 2006.
  - H. Addendum No. 5 to the Snohomish County GMACP 10-Year Update EIS was issued on December 20, 2006 for the amendments to Appendix D of the comprehensive plan. This addendum adds information and analysis of previously identified significant impacts and alternatives to the EIS issued for the county's GMACP 10-Year Update. The information in this addendum expands on previous identified alternatives, but does not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts and alternatives analyzed in the EIS. No additional significant impacts beyond those identified in the original EIS are expected to occur. The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements with respect to this proposed action have been satisfied by this document.
  - I. The amendments to the GMACP satisfy the procedural and substantive requirements of and are consistent with the GMA.
  - J. The proposal to amend Appendix D, 2025 Population Targets of the GMA Comprehensive Plan fulfills the criteria pursuant to SCC 30.74.060 and is consistent with the Growth Management Act, County Planning Policies and the GMA Comprehensive Plan.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
POLICY PLAN

- K. The proposal has been broadly disseminated and opportunities have been provided for written comments and public hearing after effective notice.
- L. A public hearing was held before the county council on December 11, 2006 which meets state and local public participation requirements for the adoption of an ordinance under the GMA.

**Section 3.** The county council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the planning commission and the county council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.

**Section 4.** Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, the Snohomish County GMA Comprehensive Plan – Appendix D, adopted by Amended Ordinance 05-069 on December 21, 2005 and last amended by Ordinance No. 06-053 on July 19, 2006, is amended as indicated in Exhibit A to this ordinance which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference into this ordinance as if set forth in full.

**Section 5.** The county council directs the code revisor to update SCC 30.10.060 pursuant to the authority in SCC 1.02.020(3) upon adoption of this ordinance.

**Section 6.** Severability. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the Growth Management Hearings Board, or a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – GENERAL  
POLICY PLAN

PASSED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL  
Snohomish County, Washington

Kim Stevens  
Council Chair

ATTEST:

Sheila McAllister  
Asst. Clerk of the Council

- APPROVED
- EMERGENCY
- VETOED

DATE: Jan. 9, 2007

Mark Soine  
MARK SOINE  
Deputy Executive  
~~Snohomish County Executive~~

ATTEST:

Lindy Bagn 01-09-07

Approved as to form only:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

D-13

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 06-117  
RELATING TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT AMENDING APPENDIX D, TO THE  
SNOHOMISH COUNTY GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN - GENERAL  
POLICY PLAN



**Exhibit A**  
**Amended Ordinance No. 06-117**  
**General Policy Plan**  
**Appendix D Amendments**

**APPENDIX D, Table D-1: Reconciled 2025 Population Growth Targets for Cities, UGAs and the Rural/Resource Area Recommended by the SCT Planning Advisory Committee (April 13, 2006) and SCT Steering Committee (May 24, 2006), as Modified and Adopted by the Snohomish County Council on December 20, 2006.**

Area	2002 Estimated Population	Reconciled 2025 Population Targets	2002 - 2025 Population Growth	
			Amount	Pct of Total County Growth
<b>Non-S.W. County UGA</b>	134,101	226,794	92,693	29.9%
Arlington UGA	13,920	27,000	13,080	4.2%
Arlington City	13,280	18,150	4,870	1.6%
Unincorporated	640	8,850	8,210	2.6%
Darrington UGA	1,468	2,125	657	0.2%
Darrington Town	1,335	1,910	575	0.2%
Unincorporated	133	215	82	0.0%
Gold Bar UGA	2,817	3,500	683	0.2%
Gold Bar City	2,055	2,497	442	0.1%
Unincorporated	762	1,003	241	0.1%
Granite Falls UGA	2,909	6,970	4,061	1.3%
Granite Falls City	2,760	4,770	2,010	0.6%
Unincorporated	149	2,200	2,051	0.7%
Index UGA (incorporated)	160	190	30	0.0%
Lake Stevens UGA	26,828	46,125	19,297	6.2%
Lake Stevens City	6,640	8,360	1,720	0.6%
Unincorporated	20,188	37,765	17,577	5.7%
Maltby UGA (unincorporated)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Marysville UGA	50,828	79,800	28,972	9.3%
Marysville City	27,580	36,737	9,157	2.9%
Unincorporated	23,248	43,063	19,815	6.4%
Monroe UGA	16,240	26,590	10,350	3.3%
Monroe City	14,670	20,540	5,870	1.9%
Unincorporated	1,570	6,050	4,480	1.4%
Snohomish UGA	10,194	14,535	4,341	1.4%
Snohomish City	8,575	9,981	1,406	0.5%
Unincorporated	1,619	4,554	2,935	0.9%
Stanwood UGA	4,479	8,840	4,361	1.4%
Stanwood City	4,085	5,650	1,565	0.5%
Unincorporated	394	3,190	2,796	0.9%
Sultan UGA	4,258	11,119	6,861	2.2%
Sultan City	3,910	8,190	4,280	1.4%
Unincorporated	348	2,929	2,581	0.8%
<b>S.W. County UGA</b>	380,579	533,125	152,546	49.1%
Incorporated S.W.	242,490	303,227	60,737	19.6%
Bothell City (part)	14,490	22,000	7,510	2.4%
Brier City	6,445	7,790	1,345	0.4%
Edmonds City	39,460	44,880	5,420	1.7%
Everett City	96,070	123,060	26,990	8.7%
Lynnwood City	33,990	43,782	9,792	3.2%
Mill Creek City	12,055	16,089	4,034	1.3%
Mtlake Terrace City	20,470	22,456	1,986	0.6%
Mukilteo City	18,520	22,000	3,480	1.1%
Woodway Town	990	1,170	180	0.1%
Unincorporated S.W.	138,089	229,898	91,809	29.6%
<b>UGA Total</b>	514,680	759,919	245,239	79.0%
City Total	327,540	420,202	92,662	29.8%
Unincorporated UGA Total	187,140	339,717	152,577	49.1%
<b>FCC Population Reserve *</b>	NA	15,000	15,000	4.8%
<b>TDR Population Reserve</b>	NA	4,900	4,900	1.6%
<b>Potential UGA total</b>	514,680	779,819	265,139	85.4%
<b>Non-UGA Total (Rural Unincorporated)</b>	113,320	158,615	45,295	14.6%
<b>County Total</b>	628,000	938,434	310,434	100.0%

FCC = Fully Contained Community; TDR = Transfer of Development Rights; NA = Not applicable.

\* -- The portion of the 2025 countywide population projection reserved for potential FCCs. The portion of the population reserve associated with an approved FCC becomes part of the urban growth allocation [RCW 36.70A.350(2)].

**APPENDIX D, Table D-2: Reconciled 2025 Employment Growth Targets for Cities, UGAs and the Rural/Resource Area Recommended by the SCT Planning Advisory Committee (April 13, 2006) and SCT Steering Committee (May 24, 2006). Adopted by the Snohomish County Council on December 20, 2006.**

Area	2002 Estimated Employment	Reconciled 2025 Employment Targets	2002 - 2025 Employment Growth	
			Amount	Pct of Total County Growth
<b>Non-S.W. County UGA</b>	<b>43,105</b>	<b>80,628</b>	<b>37,523</b>	<b>26.0%</b>
Arlington UGA	8,103	15,360	7,257	5.0%
Arlington City	7,928	14,350	6,422	4.4%
Unincorporated	175	1,010	835	0.6%
Darrington UGA	371	535	164	0.1%
Darrington Town	371	415	44	0.0%
Unincorporated	-	115	115	0.1%
Gold Bar UGA	175	210	35	0.0%
Gold Bar City	172	210	38	0.0%
Unincorporated	2	-	(2)	0.0%
Granite Falls UGA	802	2,200	1,398	1.0%
Granite Falls City	802	2,109	1,307	0.9%
Unincorporated	-	91	91	0.1%
Index UGA (incorporated)	44	70	26	0.0%
Lake Stevens UGA	3,799	6,615	2,816	1.9%
Lake Stevens City	1,164	1,805	641	0.4%
Unincorporated	2,636	4,810	2,174	1.5%
Maltby UGA (unincorporated)	2,107	4,960	2,853	2.0%
Marysville UGA	11,292	24,008	12,716	8.8%
Marysville City	9,369	16,851	7,482	5.2%
Unincorporated	1,923	7,157	5,234	3.6%
Monroe UGA	7,627	12,390	4,763	3.3%
Monroe City	7,506	11,800	4,294	3.0%
Unincorporated	121	590	469	0.3%
Snohomish UGA	4,842	6,730	1,888	1.3%
Snohomish City	4,015	4,900	885	0.6%
Unincorporated	827	1,830	1,003	0.7%
Stanwood UGA	3,081	5,550	2,469	1.7%
Stanwood City	2,856	4,790	1,934	1.3%
Unincorporated	225	760	535	0.4%
Sultan UGA	860	2,000	1,140	0.8%
Sultan City	843	1,970	1,127	0.8%
Unincorporated	18	30	12	0.0%
<b>S.W. County UGA</b>	<b>163,204</b>	<b>259,577</b>	<b>96,373</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
Incorporated S.W.	142,477	219,473	76,996	53.3%
Bothell City (part)	11,247	15,840	4,593	3.2%
Brier City	300	430	130	0.1%
Edmonds City	10,300	12,190	1,890	1.3%
Everett City	80,493	130,340	49,847	34.5%
Lynnwood City	22,876	38,550	15,674	10.8%
Mill Creek City	2,890	4,544	1,654	1.1%
Mtlake Terrace City	7,869	8,039	170	0.1%
Mukilteo City	6,449	9,450	3,001	2.1%
Woodway Town	53	90	37	0.0%
Unincorporated S.W.	20,727	40,104	19,377	13.4%
<b>UGA Total</b>	<b>206,309</b>	<b>340,205</b>	<b>133,896</b>	<b>92.7%</b>
City Total	177,548	278,743	101,195	70.0%
Unincorporated UGA Total	28,761	61,462	32,701	22.6%
<b>Non-UGA Total *</b> (Rural Unincorporated)	<b>7,566</b>	<b>18,150</b>	<b>10,584</b>	<b>7.3%</b>
<b>County Total</b>	<b>213,875</b>	<b>358,355</b>	<b>144,480</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Includes all full- and part-time wage and salary workers and self-employed persons, excluding jobs within the resource (agriculture, forestry, fishing and mining) and construction sectors.

\* -- Non-UGA total includes employment forecast information provided by Tulalip Tribes to the year 2020, extrapolated by to 2025 by Snohomish County Tomorrow. Assumes a total of 12,300 jobs on Tulalip Reservation by 2025 (up from 2,680 total jobs in 2000).

**APPENDIX D, Table D-3: Reconciled 2025 Population Growth Targets for Unincorporated MUGAs Recommended by the SCT Planning Advisory Committee (April 13, 2006) and SCT Steering Committee (May 24, 2006), as Modified and Adopted by the Snohomish County Council on December 20, 2006.**

<b>Unincorporated MUGAs within SW UGA:</b>	<b>2002 Estimated Population</b>	<b>2025 Population Target</b>	<b>2002-2025 Numeric Change</b>
Bothell	16,121	29,565	13,444
Brier	2,157	3,295	1,138
Edmonds	3,516	4,466	950
Everett	36,205	50,210	14,005
Lynnwood	19,758	34,335	14,577
Mill Creek	28,529	56,232	27,703
Mountlake Terrace	82	105	23
Mukilteo	10,662	14,910	4,248
Paine Field	324	-	(324)
Woodway	-	170	170
<u>Overlap area:</u>			
Larch Way (between Mill Creek & Lynnwood)	2,119	4,390	2,271
<u>Gaps:</u>			
Lake Stickney	4,115	10,820	6,705
Norma Beach	2,826	3,320	494
Silver Firs	11,675	18,080	6,405
<b>Unincorporated MUGA total</b>	<b>138,089</b>	<b>229,898</b>	<b>91,809</b>

Unincorporated MUGAs were defined using April 2002 city boundaries.  
 The portion of the unincorporated SW UGA north of the City of Everett is not included within a MUGA.  
 MUGA = Municipal Urban Growth Area

**APPENDIX D, Table D-4: Reconciled 2025 Employment Growth Targets for Unincorporated MUGAs Recommended by the SCT Planning Advisory Committee (April 13, 2006) and SCT Steering Committee (May 24, 2006). Adopted by the Snohomish County Council on December 20, 2006.**

<b>Unincorporated MUGAs within SW UGA:</b>	<b>2002 Estimated Employment</b>	<b>2025 Employment Target</b>	<b>2002-2025 Numeric Change</b>
Bothell	752	1,540	788
Brier	117	134	17
Edmonds	199	414	215
Everett	5,190	6,520	1,330
Lynnwood	2,347	5,400	3,053
Mill Creek	2,888	4,375	1,487
Mountlake Terrace	18	20	2
Mukilteo	2,807	5,080	2,273
Paine Field	3,730	8,847	5,117
Woodway	13	620	607
<u>Overlap area:</u>			
Larch Way (between Mill Creek & Lynnwood)	1,486	1,955	469
<u>Gaps:</u>			
Lake Stickney	620	660	40
Norma Beach	90	90	-
Silver Firs	473	3,424	2,951
<b>Unincorporated MUGA total</b>	<b>20,730</b>	<b>39,079</b>	<b>18,349</b>

Unincorporated MUGAs were defined using April 2002 city boundaries.  
 The portion of the unincorporated SW UGA north of the City of Everett is not included within a MUGA.  
 MUGA = Municipal Urban Growth Area